From: aaron@urbanplaceconsulting.com
Subject: RE: revised Fig BID renewal database
Date: January 12, 2017 at 12:05 PM
To: Dennis Rader dennis.rader@lacity.org

Thanks for the heads up and reviewing the MDP/ER so fast.

Aaron Aulenta
Urban Place Consulting Group
aaron@urbanplaceconsulting.com

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Re: revised Fig BID renewal database From: Dennis Rader dennis.rader@lacity.org

Date: Thu, January 12, 2017 12:00 pm

To: Aaron Aulenta <aaron@urbanplaceconsulting.com>, \

, Autova Advote

Hi Aaron, I just wanted to let you know that I will be on vacation for the next two weeks, returning Tue Jan 31. After tomorrow, please send all petitions for each applicable BID to myself and my staff:

mario.montez@lacity.org garen.yegparian@lacity.org

This will ensure that someone will receive them and review. Thank you.

On Tue, Jan 10, 2017 at 3:18 PM, Dennis Rader < dennis.rader@lacity.org > wrote: OK sounds good.

On Tue, Jan 10, 2017 at 3:10 PM, <aaron@urbanplaceconsulting.com> wrote: Thanks for your attention to detail. We'll get it all fixed.

Those 2 new State of Calif. parcels are Caltrans from what I understand, so I could keep all 3 grouped and all (caltrans) behind each.

Thanks.

Aaron Aulenta Urban Place Consulting Group aaron@urbanplaceconsulting.com

----- Original Message ------

Subject: Re: revised Fig BID renewal database From: Dennis Rader < dennis.rader@lacity.org>

Date: Tue, January 10, 2017 2:50 pm

To: Aaron Aulenta aaron@urbanplaceconsulting.com

Cc: Garen Yegnarian <garen vegnarian@lacity.org>, "Van Cise, Eugene"

<eugene.vancise@lacity.org>

Aaron, I found a few issues in the Figueroa MDP & ER.

- 1) You didn't include State of CA Expo Park parcel $\underline{5037027910}$ in the Govt list, it was in the private parcel list. This error was in both the MDP & ER.
- 2) In the MDP pg. 19, where you showed the rate calculations for Tier 1, 2 & 3, the budget numbers are wrong. The numbers for tier 1, 2 & 3 should be \$250,075.99 / \$578,772.36 / \$183,163.18 respectively. The tier 4 numbers are OK. The ER has all the correct numbers already.
- 3) For State parcel $\underline{5037030901}$, this is actually a CALTRANS parcel. I don't know if you want to specifically indicate that in the Govt list or not. As far as that goes, new State parcels $\underline{5037032900}$ & 901 might be CALTRANS parcels as well. I'm not sure about those two though, since they are new parcels. Do you have resources to find out about them?

On Mon, Jan 9, 2017 at 3:09 PM, Dennis Rader

<dennis.rader@lacity.org> wrote:

OK Aaron, I will look at it.

On Mon, Jan 9*, 2017 at 2:57 PM, aaron@urbanplaceconsulting.com> wrote:

Hi Dennis and Garen,

Attached is an revised database for Fig Corridor that reflects changes made to the MDP and ER that we just sent back to Miranda. We're hoping this is the final version and we'll be given permission to go to petition.

Note, the budget, rates, assessments are different from the previous database version. No changes to parcels or frontage/building square footage.

Thanks, Aaron

Aaron Aulenta
Urban Place Consulting Group
aaron@urbanplaceconsulting.com

Dennis Rader Technical Research Supervisor Los Angeles City Clerk, NBID Division 213-978-1120

Dennis Rader

Technical Research Supervisor Los Angeles City Clerk, NBID Division 213-978-1120

Dennis Rader Technical Research Supervisor Los Angeles City Clerk, NBID Division 213-978-1120

Dennis Rader Technical Research Supervisor Los Angeles City Clerk, NBID Division 213-978-1120